UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/585,650       | 07/07/2006                           | Nobuhiro Tazoe       | 292536US2PCT        | 5471             |
|                  | 10/585,650 07/07/2006 Nobuhiro Tazoe | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 1940 DUKE STREET |                                      | ONEILL, KARIE AMBER  |                     |                  |
|                  |                                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                  |                                      | 1795                 |                     |                  |
|                  |                                      |                      |                     |                  |
|                  |                                      |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                  |                                      |                      | 08/14/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |       |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence  | 10/585,650  | TAZOE, NOBUHIRO   |       |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |       |  |  |  |
|   | Karie O'Neill   | 1795  |       |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | dress |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | J.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this co<br>O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |       |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>  | ly 2006.  |   |       |  |  |  |
| · <u> </u>  |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E.   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |       |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or e   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CF                                |       |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National (   | Stage |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | ite   |       |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9 and 14-16, drawn to a cell electrode plate.

Group II, claim(s) 10-13, drawn to a process for producing a cell electrode plate.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I does not require the process steps required by Group II.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Group I has different species of electrode plates having electrode active material in different positions. The species are:

Group I-Species I: Claims 1, 3-5; wherein at least one of the sheets of electrode active material has end positions widthwise of the core member which are different from end positions of the other sheets of electrode active material widthwise of the core member.

<u>Group I-Species II</u>: Claims 2, 4-16; wherein the mutually adjacent sheets of electrode active material have mutually different end positions widthwise of the core member.

<u>Group I-Species III</u>: Claim 6; wherein a predetermined sheet or sheets of electrode active material respectively has one and the other ends longitudinally of the core member which have different widths.

<u>Group I-Species IV</u>: Claim 7; wherein the sheets of electrode active material oppositely on the upper and lower surfaces of the core member have different end positions widthwise of the core member.

Group II has different species of electrode plates having electrode active material in different positions. The species are:

Group II-Species I: Claim 10; wherein at least one of the sheets of electrode active material has end positions widthwise of the core member which are different from end positions of the other sheets of electrode active material widthwise of the core member to thereby provide the sheets of electrode active material on said core member.

Group II-Species II: Claim 11; wherein the mutually adjacent sheets of electrode active material have mutually different end positions widthwise of the core member to thereby provide the sheets of electrode active material on said core member.

<u>Group II-Species III</u>: Claim 12; wherein a predetermined sheet or sheets of electrode active material respectively has one and the other ends longitudinally of the

Application/Control Number: 10/585,650 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

core member which have different widths to thereby provide the sheets of electrode

active material on said core member.

Group II-Species IV: Claim 13; wherein the sheets of electrode active material

oppositely on the upper and lower surfaces of the core member have different end

positions widthwise of the core member to thereby provide the sheets of electrode

active material on said core member.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the

claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are

generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following

manner:

Group I, Species I: Claims 1, 3-5

Group I, Species II: Claims 2, 14-16

Group I, Species III: Claim 6

Group I, Species IV: Claim 7

Group II, Species I: Claim 10

Group II, Species II: Claim 11

Art Unit: 1795

Group II, Species III: Claim 12 Group II, Species IV: Claim 13

The following claim(s) are generic: none of the claims are generic.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the electrode plates have electrode active material in different positions.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karie O'Neill whose telephone number is (571)272-8614. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,650

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PATRICK RYAN/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Karie O'Neill Examiner Art Unit 1795 Page 7

**KAO**